

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/765,637	01/27/2004	Adolph Mondry		2272
47779 7590 ADOLPH MONDRY 753 VIRGINIA PLYMOUTH, MI 48170			EXAMINER	
			ST CLAIR, ANDREW D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3749	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/03/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/765,637 MONDRY, ADOLPH Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit ANDREW ST CLAIR 3749 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 May 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

U.S. Patent and Trademark Offic PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06)

1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)

Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1/27/2004.

Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)

Attachment(s)

Interview Summary (PTO-413)
 Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____.

6) Other:

5) Notice of Informal Patent Application

Art Unit: 3749

DETAILED ACTION

Examiner Notes

 Applicant has submitted more than one set of claims since the Non-final Office Action of October 17, 2007. Per Mr. Mondry's request, the amended claims of May 7, 2008 are examined.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention

With respect to claims 1 and 10, "the burners" has no antecedent basis. On the eleventh line, "the flue parameter" has no antecedent basis; it is unclear whether the recitation refers to "a desired flue parameter level" with inconsistent terminology. On the thirteenth line, the recitation of "an upper limit" and "a lower limit" is indefinite because the third line introduces "an upper limit and a lower limit;" if the same limits are referred to, "said" or "the" should precede the terms, otherwise the terms should have a modifier such as "a second upper limit" to distinguish them. The terms "said flue" and "the desired flue parameter range" have no antecedent basis. It is unclear whether "the desired flue parameter range" refers to the previously introduced "a desired flue parameter level." All claim limitations should be clearly and consistently referred to and be supported by antecedent basis. See MPEP 2173.05(e). Changing, truncating, or expanding a term used to refer to a claim limitation lends confusion as to what is claimed, what

Application/Control Number: 10/765,637

Art Unit: 3749

is a positive recitation of new structure, and what refers back to structure previously recited.

Claims 2-9 and 11-20 depend therefrom and fail to cure the indefiniteness.

The transitional phrase of claim 1 recites "the method *also* comprising;" the word "also" being roughly synonymous with "additionally," it is unclear what the claim comprises in addition to what appears in the body of the claim.

Claim 1 recites the step of "sequencing through the plurality of sequential flue parameter doses;" such a step would appear to make sense only if the flue parameter can be independently manipulated, yet there is no mention in the specification or figures of any means which could independently supply CO, NO, or heat; rather these are understood to be byproducts of the multiburners.

This is not presented as a complete recitation of the indefiniteness of claims 1-20.

Applicant should thoroughly check the claims to preclude a similar rejection in future office actions.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Al-Halbouni (US 6,419,480).

Because of the highly indefinite nature of claims 1-20, the scope of the claimed invention cannot be discerned. However, as understood by the examiner, applicant discloses a system that Application/Control Number: 10/765,637

Art Unit: 3749

varies the supply of oxidants supplied to a burner, thereby optimizing the byproducts of combustion such as NO, CO, and heat. A1-Halbouni discloses such a method, and teaches that they are well known in the prior art. (See Title; col. 1, In. 30-55; col. 5, In. 24-44).

Conclusion

6. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW ST CLAIR whose telephone number is (571)270-3513. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday, 8 a.m. - 6 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steve McAllister can be reached on 571-272-6785. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 3749

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew St.Clair/ Examiner, Art Unit 3749

/Steven B. McAllister/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3749